AIAA Paper 2006-0628, 01/10/2006

Parallel Computation of Forced Vibration for A Compressor Cascade

Zongjun Hu Gecheng Zha University of Miami

Background

- Turbomachinery Blade Flutter Important
- Mistuned Rotor Require Full Annulus Simulation

Objective

• Develop and Validate CFD Code for Multi-blade Passage Vibration

Numerical Strategy

- 3D Time Accurate RANS
- Dual Time Stepping, Implicit Gauss-Seidel Iteration
- Low Diffusion Zha E-CUSP2 Scheme
- 2nd Order Accuracy in Space and Time
- Baldwin-Lomax Turbulence Model
- Enforce Geometry Conservation Law
- Validate with NASA Flutter Cascade Experiment

Governing Equations: 3D RANS

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}'}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}'}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}'}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}'}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}'}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{1}{Re} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}'_{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}'_{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}'_{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \zeta} \right)$$
(1)
$$\mathbf{Q}' = \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{J} \quad \mathbf{E}' = \frac{1}{J} \hat{\mathbf{E}},$$
(2)

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{u} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{v} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{v} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{w} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{e} \end{pmatrix}, \ \hat{\mathbf{E}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho}\tilde{U} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{u}\tilde{U} + \xi_x\tilde{p} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{v}\tilde{U} + \xi_y\tilde{p} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{w}\tilde{U} + \xi_z\tilde{p} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{e}\tilde{U} + \tilde{p}\bar{U} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{U} = \xi_t + \xi_x \tilde{u} + \xi_y \tilde{v} + \xi_z \tilde{w}, \quad \bar{U} = \tilde{U} - \xi_t$$

Time Marching Scheme:

Implicit Gauss-Seidel Relaxation, Dual Time Stepping

$$\left[\left(\frac{1}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{1.5}{\Delta t} \right) I - \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial Q} \right)^{n+1,m} \right] \delta Q^{n+1,m+1} = R^{n+1,m} - \frac{3Q^{n+1,m} - 4Q^n + Q^n}{2\Delta t}$$
(3)

Upwind Schemes Implemented: Roe, van Leer, Liou's AUSM+, New E-CUSP2

The New E-CUSP Scheme with High Efficiency Low Diffusion

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}} = \hat{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Q} = \hat{\mathbf{T}} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}$$
(4)

For subsonic flow, M < 1:

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} [(\bar{\rho}\tilde{U})_{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{c}}_{L} + \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{c}}_{R}) - |\bar{\rho}\tilde{U}|_{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{c}}_{R} - \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{c}}_{L})] \\
+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}^{+}\tilde{p}\xi_{x} \\ \mathcal{P}^{+}\tilde{p}\xi_{y} \\ \mathcal{P}^{+}\tilde{p}\xi_{z} \\ \frac{1}{2}\tilde{p}(\bar{U} + \bar{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{pmatrix}_{L} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}^{-}\tilde{p}\xi_{x} \\ \mathcal{P}^{-}\tilde{p}\xi_{y} \\ \mathcal{P}^{-}\tilde{p}\xi_{z} \\ \frac{1}{2}\tilde{p}(\bar{U} - \bar{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{pmatrix}_{R}$$
(7)

where

$$(\bar{\rho}\tilde{U})_{\frac{1}{2}} = (\bar{\rho}_L \tilde{U}_L^+ + \bar{\rho}_R \tilde{U}_R^-)$$
(8)

$$\mathbf{q^{c}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{v} \\ \tilde{w} \\ \tilde{e} \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

$$\tilde{C}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{C}_L + \tilde{C}_R)$$
(10)

$$\tilde{M}_L = \frac{\tilde{U}_L}{\tilde{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}}, \qquad \tilde{M}_R = \frac{\tilde{U}_R}{\tilde{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{11}$$

$$\tilde{U}_{L}^{+} = \tilde{C}_{\frac{1}{2}} \{ \frac{\tilde{M}_{L} + |\tilde{M}_{L}|}{2} + \alpha_{L} [\frac{1}{4} (\tilde{M}_{L} + 1)^{2} - \frac{\tilde{M}_{L} + |\tilde{M}_{L}|}{2}] \}$$
(12)

$$\tilde{U}_{R}^{-} = \tilde{C}_{\frac{1}{2}} \{ \frac{\tilde{M}_{R} - |\tilde{M}_{R}|}{2} + \alpha_{R} [-\frac{1}{4} (\tilde{M}_{R} - 1)^{2} - \frac{\tilde{M}_{R} - |\tilde{M}_{R}|}{2}] \}$$
(13)

$$\alpha_L = \frac{2(\tilde{p}/\bar{\rho})_L}{(\tilde{p}/\bar{\rho})_L + (\tilde{p}/\bar{\rho})_R}, \qquad \alpha_R = \frac{2(\tilde{p}/\bar{\rho})_R}{(\tilde{p}/\bar{\rho})_L + (\tilde{p}/\bar{\rho})_R}$$
(14)

$$\mathcal{P}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{M} \pm 1)^2 (2 \mp \tilde{M}) \pm \alpha \tilde{M} (\tilde{M}^2 - 1)^2, \qquad \alpha = \frac{3}{16}$$
(15)

$$\bar{C} = \tilde{C} - \xi_t \tag{16}$$

$$\bar{C}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{C}_L + \bar{C}_R) \tag{17}$$

For Energy Eq.

$$\alpha_L = \frac{2(\tilde{H}/\bar{\rho})_L}{(\tilde{H}/\bar{\rho})_L + (\tilde{H}/\bar{\rho})_R}, \qquad \alpha_R = \frac{2(\tilde{H}/\bar{\rho})_R}{(\tilde{H}/\bar{\rho})_L + (\tilde{H}/\bar{\rho})_R}$$
(18)

For supersonic flow,

when $\tilde{U}_L \geq \tilde{C}$, $\mathbf{\hat{E}}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{\hat{E}}_L$ when $\tilde{U}_R \leq -\tilde{C}$, $\mathbf{\hat{E}}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{\hat{E}}_R$

Boundary Conditions

No slip adiabatic wall condition:

$$u_o = 2u_w - u_i \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \eta} = 0 \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta} = -\left(\frac{\rho}{\eta_x^2 + \eta_y^2}\right)\left(\eta_x \dot{u}_w + \eta_y \dot{v}_w\right) \tag{21}$$

Upstream: Constant P_t , T_t , Flow Angle Downstream: Constant static pressure

NASA transonic flutter cascade



inlet flow



Forced Oscillation, IBPA=180°

$$\alpha^{n}(t) = \alpha_{0} + \hat{\alpha}Re\left[\exp\left(i\left(\omega t + n\beta\right)\right)\right]$$
(22)

Parameters

Reduced Frequency:

$$k_c = \frac{\omega C}{U_\infty} \tag{23}$$

Fourier Transformed Unsteady Pressure Coefficient.

$$C_p(x) = \frac{p_1(x)}{\rho_\infty U_\infty^2 \hat{\alpha}}$$
(24)

Unsteady Moment Coefficient

$$C_m(t) = \frac{-\int \mathbf{r} \times p(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}}{\frac{1}{2}\rho_{\infty} U_{\infty}^2 \hat{\alpha}}$$
(25)

Aerodynamic damping coefficient:

$$\Xi = -Im\left(C_m\right) \tag{26}$$

Results: Computation Domain



two passages



full scale multi-passages with wind tunnel walls

M=0.5, Re= 9×10^5 , Incidence = 1°, $K_c = 0.4, 0.8, 1.2$

Results: Mesh



Unsteady pressure oscillation, 2 passages, $K_c = 0.8$



Steady state Mach number contours, Multi-passages



Steady state surface pressure



Unsteady surface pressure, suction surface, $K_c = 0.8$



Unsteady surface pressure, pressure surface, $K_c = 0.8$



Local stability analysis, $k_c = 0.8$



Damping coefficient distribution, $k_c = 0.8$



Unsteady Mach number contours, $k_c = 0.8$



Local Stability at different k_c



Conclusions

• A parallel computation methodology for multi-passage fluid-structural interaction of blade cascade is developed and validated with NASA Flutter Cascade experiment.

- The steady state surface pressure agrees well with experiment.
- The unsteady surface pressure agrees reasonably well with experiment, with large discrepancy in the front part of the blade.
- The trend of blade local stability agrees well with experiment, the quantity is over-predicted in the front part of the blade.

• The aerodynamic damping is increased with the increased vibration frequency.

- The wind tunnel end wall has effect on the blade flow in the middle blade of the cascade.
- The detailed unsteady vortex shedding phenomenon is captured.