AIAA Paper 2006-1060, 01/11/2006

Numerical Simulation of Co-Flow Jet Airfoil Flows

Gecheng Zha Wei Gao Craig Paxton Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering University of Miami Coral Gables, FL 33124 E-mail: Gzha@miami.edu

Overview of Airfoil Flow Control

- Rotating Cylinder at LE and TE
- Circulation Control Airfoil, Coanda Effect (IBF)
- Synthetic Jet, Pulsed Jet
- Externally Blown Flaps
- Upper Surface Blowing
- Co-Flow Jet Airfoil

Co-Flow Jet(CFJ) Airfoil

- AIAA Book Series, 2006, AIAA J. of Aircraft, 2006
- AIAA Paper: 2004-2208,2005-1260, 2006-1060, 2006-0102, 2006-1061, NASA/CP-2005-213506, 2005





CFJ Airfoil

- Highly Effective: High Lift, Low Drag, High Stall Margin
- Energy Efficient: Small Penalty to Propulsion System
- Easy Implementation

Objectives: Develop a CFD simulation strategy for CFJ airfoil design and analysis





CFJ Airfoil, injection-suction

CC Airfoil, injection only

CFJ Airfoil Geometry



Baseline NACA0025, CFJ0025-065-196,

Wind Tunnel Test Results, CFJ0026-065-196 airfoil



Wind Tunnel Test Results, CFJ0026-131-196 airfoil



Wind Tunnel Test Results



baseline airfoil, AoA $=20^{0}$

Control Volume AIAA Paper 2006-0102, Zha and Gao



 F_{xcfj} : duct reaction force in x-direction

$$F_{xcfj} = (\dot{m}_{j1}u_{j1} + (p_{j1}A_{j1})_x) - \gamma(\dot{m}_{j2}u_{j2} + (p_{j2}A_{j2})_x)$$
$$= (\dot{m}_j V_{j1} + p_{j1}A_{j1}) * \cos(\theta_1 - \alpha) - \gamma(\dot{m}_j V_{j2} + p_{j2}A_{j2}) * \cos(\theta_2 + \alpha) \quad (1)$$

$$D = R'_x - F_{xcfj} = \int_h^b \rho V_e (V_\infty - V_e) dy$$
⁽²⁾

or

$$C_D = C_{Drake} \tag{3}$$

Lift

$$L = R'_y - F_{y_{cfj}} \tag{4}$$

 R'_y : Surface pressure and shear stress integral in y-direction

$$F_{y_{cfj}} = (\dot{m}_{j1}v_{j1} + (p_{j1}A_{j1})_y) - \gamma(\dot{m}_{j2}v_{j2} + (p_{j2}A_{j2})_y)$$
$$= (\dot{m}_j V_{j1} + p_{j1}A_{j1}) * sin(\theta_1 - \alpha) + \gamma(\dot{m}_j V_{j2} + p_{j2}A_{j2}) * sin(\theta_2 + \alpha)$$
(5)

CFD Solver: Fluent

- 2nd Order Upwind Scheme, Pressure Based
- $k \epsilon$ model integrated to wall, $y^+ \approx 1$
- Structured mesh around airfoil, unstructured mesh far field

Boundary Conditions

- Far field
- Injection: Iterate P_0 , T_0 , matching experiment C_{μ}
- Suction: Iterate p, matching \dot{m}_j

2D Mesh



Computed Injection Momentum Coefficient



Computed lift coefficient



Computed drag coefficient



Computed CFJ airfoil wake profile compared with baseline at AoA=10 \circ



Computed CFJ airfoil wake profiles at different AoA



Computed surface isentropic Mach number, AoA=10°.







Flow visualization of baseline airfoil, AoA=10° Computed baseline airfoil Mach contours with streamlines, AoA= 10° .



Mach Number 0.224395 0.209447 0.194499 0.179551 0.164603 0.149656 0.134708 0.149812 0.0896638 0.0450201 0.0300722 0.0151243

Flow visualization of baseline airfoil, $AoA=20^{\circ}$

Computed baseline airfoil Mach contours with streamlines, AoA= 20° .



front portion

PIV of CFJ airfoil, $AoA=43^{\circ}$, PIV of CFJ airfoil, $AoA=43^{\circ}$, rear portion





Computed CFJ airfoil Mach contours with streamlines at $AoA=39^{\circ}$.

Computed CFJ airfoil Mach contours with streamlines at $AoA=43^{\circ}$.



PIV of CFJ airfoil, AoA=46°, front portion

PIV of CFJ airfoil, AoA=46°, front portion

Computed CFJ airfoil Mach contours with streamlines at AoA=46°.



Conclusions

- CFD simulation strategy of CFJ airfoil is developed.
- \bullet The baseline lift and drag agree well with experiment, stall AoA 3° larger.
- The jet ducts reaction forces are included in the total lift and drag.
- For the CFJ0025-069-196 airfoil, the computed lift and drag agree well with experiment when AoA_i20°. Both lift and drag are significantly under-predicted when AoA_i20°.
- At low AoA, the reversed wake velocity deficit is predicted, consistent with experiment.
- The stall AoA of CFJ airfoil is predicted well.
- Computation indicate that the CFJ airfoil has higher circulation, lower drag, higher stall margin, consistent with experiment.