#### AIAA Paper 2005-0091

## Implicit Application of Non-Reflective Boundary Conditions for Navier-Stokes Equations in Generalized Coordinates

Xiangying Chen Gecheng Zha Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering University of Miami Coral Gables, Florida 33124 xychen@apollo.eng.miami.edu, zha@apollo.eng.miami.edu

# Background

- Internal unsteady flows sensitive to reflective waves at boundaries
- NRBC of Giles (1990) applicable for Euler eqs. with uniform far field flow
- NRBC of Poinsot and Lele (1992) developed for viscous flow using LODI in Cartesian Coordinates
- Kim and Lee (2000) extended NRBC of Poinsot and Lele to generalized coordinates, but their derivation has mistakes.

# Objectives

- Extend the NRBC of Poinsot and Lele for Navier-Stokes equations to generalized coordinates
- Apply and test the NRBC

# Governing Equations: 3D Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}'}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}'}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}'}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}'}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{1}{Re} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}'_{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}'_{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}'_{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \zeta} \right) \qquad (1)$$
$$\mathbf{Q}' = \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{J} \qquad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{E}' = \frac{1}{J} (\xi_x \mathbf{E} + \xi_y \mathbf{F} + \xi_z \mathbf{G})$$
(3)

$$\mathbf{F}' = \frac{1}{J} (\eta_x \mathbf{E} + \eta_y \mathbf{F} + \eta_z \mathbf{G})$$
(4)

$$\mathbf{G}' = \frac{1}{J} (\zeta_x \mathbf{E} + \zeta_y \mathbf{F} + \zeta_z \mathbf{G})$$
(5)

$$\mathbf{E}'_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{1}{J} (\xi_x \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{v}} + \xi_y \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{v}} + \xi_z \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{v}})$$
(6)

$$\mathbf{F}'_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{1}{J} (\eta_x \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{v}} + \eta_y \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{v}} + \eta_z \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{v}})$$
(7)

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{v}}' = \frac{1}{J} (\zeta_x \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{v}} + \zeta_y \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{v}} + \zeta_z \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{v}})$$
(8)

where the variable vector  ${\bf Q},$  and inviscid flux vectors  ${\bf E},\,{\bf F},$  and  ${\bf G}$  are

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{u} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{v} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{w} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{e} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho}\tilde{u} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{u}\tilde{u} + \tilde{p} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{u}\tilde{v} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{u}\tilde{v} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{u}\tilde{w} \\ (\bar{\rho}\tilde{e} + \tilde{p})\tilde{u} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho}\tilde{v} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{u}\tilde{v} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{v}\tilde{v} + \tilde{p} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{w}\tilde{v} \\ (\bar{\rho}\tilde{e} + \tilde{p})\tilde{v} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho}w \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{u}\tilde{w} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{v}\tilde{w} \\ \bar{\rho}\tilde{w}\tilde{w} + \tilde{p} \\ (\bar{\rho}\tilde{e} + \tilde{p})\tilde{v} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{\tau}_{xx} - \overline{\rho u'' u''} \\ \bar{\tau}_{xy} - \overline{\rho u'' v''} \\ \bar{\tau}_{xz} - \overline{\rho u'' w''} \\ Q_x \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{\tau}_{yx} - \overline{\rho v'' v''} \\ \bar{\tau}_{yy} - \overline{\rho v'' v''} \\ \bar{\tau}_{yz} - \overline{\rho v'' w''} \\ Q_y \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{\tau}_{zx} - \overline{\rho w'' u''} \\ \bar{\tau}_{zy} - \overline{\rho w'' v''} \\ \bar{\tau}_{zz} - \overline{\rho w'' w''} \\ Q_z \end{pmatrix}$$

,

$$\bar{\tau}_{ij} = -\frac{2}{3}\tilde{\mu}\frac{\partial\tilde{u}_k}{\partial x_k}\delta_{ij} + \tilde{\mu}(\frac{\partial\tilde{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial\tilde{u}_j}{\partial x_i})$$
(9)

$$Q_i = \tilde{u}_j (\bar{\tau}_{ij} - \overline{\rho u_i'' u_j''}) - (\bar{q}_i + C_p \overline{\rho T'' u_i''})$$
(10)

$$\bar{q}_i = -\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{(\gamma - 1)Pr} \frac{\partial a^2}{\partial x_i} \tag{11}$$

$$\bar{\rho}\tilde{e} = \frac{\tilde{p}}{(\gamma - 1)} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\rho}(\tilde{u}^2 + \tilde{v}^2 + \tilde{w}^2) + k$$
(12)

Baldwin-Lomax Turbulence Model used

### **Time Marching Scheme:**

• Implicit Gauss-Seidel Relaxation, Dual Time Stepping

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}}{\partial t} = \frac{3Q^{n+1} - 4Q^n + Q^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \tag{13}$$

$$\left[ \left( \frac{1}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{1.5}{\Delta t} \right) I - \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial Q} \right)^{n+1,m} \right] \delta Q^{n+1,m+1} = R^{n+1,m} - \frac{3Q^{n+1,m} - 4Q^n + Q^n}{2\Delta t}$$
(14)

$$R = -\frac{1}{V} \int_{s} \left[ (\mathbf{E}' - \frac{1}{Re} \mathbf{E}'_{v}) \mathbf{i} + (\mathbf{F}' - \frac{1}{Re} \mathbf{F}'_{v}) \mathbf{j} + (\mathbf{G}' - \frac{1}{Re} \mathbf{G}'_{v}) \mathbf{k} \right] \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (15)$$

Characteristic Form of the Navier-Stokes Equations:

$$\mathbf{M}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{M}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \xi} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{M}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \eta} + \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{M}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \zeta} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}$$
(16)

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}'}{\partial \mathbf{Q}'}, \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}'}{\partial \mathbf{Q}'}, \mathbf{C} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}'}{\partial \mathbf{Q}'}, \mathbf{M} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}'}{\partial \mathbf{q}}$$
(17)

$$\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{J} \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ u \\ v \\ w \\ p \end{pmatrix}$$
(18)

Equation (16) can be further expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{a} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \xi} + \mathbf{b} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \eta} + \mathbf{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \zeta} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}$$
(19)

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{M}$$
(20)

a, b, c have the same eigenvalues as Jacobian matrix A, B, C.

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \tag{21}$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & U + C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & U - C \end{pmatrix}$$
(22)

The Navier-Stokes equation, then can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \xi} + \mathbf{b} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \eta} + \mathbf{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \zeta} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}$$
(23)  
or

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \xi} + \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{b}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \eta} + \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \zeta} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}} \quad (24)$$

# $\mathbf{P^{-1}}$ can not be absorbed into $\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial x}$ . It is incorrect to express NS eqs. as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{\Lambda} \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \xi} + = \mathbf{P}^{-1} S_v^* \tag{25}$$

Characteristic form of the Navier-Stokes equations in  $\xi$  direction.

$$\mathcal{L} = \Lambda \mathbf{P}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \xi} \tag{26}$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{b}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \eta} + \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \zeta} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}} \qquad (27)$$

 $\mathcal{L}$ : the amplitude of the characteristic waves

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{1} \\ \mathcal{L}_{2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ \mathcal{L}_{4} \\ \mathcal{L}_{5} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U[\tilde{\xi}_{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{p}{J}) + \tilde{\xi}_{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{v}{J}) - \tilde{\xi}_{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{w}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{x}}{c^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ U[\tilde{\xi}_{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{p}{J}) - \tilde{\xi}_{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{u}{J}) + \tilde{\xi}_{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{w}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{y}}{c^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ U[\tilde{\xi}_{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{p}{J}) + \tilde{\xi}_{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{u}{J}) - \tilde{\xi}_{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{v}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{z}}{c^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ (U+C)[\frac{\tilde{\xi}_{x}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{u}{J}) + \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{y}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{v}{J}) + \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{z}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{w}{J}) + \beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ (U-C)[-\frac{\tilde{\xi}_{x}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{u}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{y}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{v}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{z}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{w}{J}) + \beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ (28) \end{cases}$$

Express the Characteristic form of the Navier-Stokes equations in conservative variables:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}'}{\partial t} + \mathcal{D} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}'}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}'}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{1}{Re} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}'_{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}'_{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}'_{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \zeta} \right)$$
(29)
$$\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{MP}\mathcal{L}$$
(30)

Local One-Dimensional Inviscid (LODI):

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}'}{\partial t} + \mathcal{D} = 0 \tag{31}$$

### Implicit Implementation of the NRBC

$$\left[ \left( \frac{1}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{1.5}{\Delta t} \right) I - \left( \frac{\partial R_{bc}}{\partial Q} \right)^{n+1,m} + \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial Q} \right)^{n+1,m} \right] \delta Q^{n+1,m+1}$$
$$= R_{bc}^{n+1,m} - \mathcal{D}^{n+1,m} - \frac{3Q^{n+1,m} - 4Q^n + Q^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \qquad (32)$$

$$R_{bc} = -\frac{1}{V} \int_{s} \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{Re} \mathbf{E}'_{v} \right) \mathbf{i} + \left( \mathbf{F}' - \frac{1}{Re} \mathbf{F}'_{v} \right) \mathbf{j} + \left( \mathbf{G}' - \frac{1}{Re} \mathbf{G}'_{v} \right) \mathbf{k} \right] \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (33)$$

#### Supersonic outflow boundary conditions

- All eigenvalues positive
- The complete characteristic N-S eqs (Eq. 29) solved.
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{L}$  evaluated using first or second order upwind differencing.

## Subsonic outflow boundary conditions

- Eigenvalue U C negative
- The characteristic N-S eqs (Eq. 29) solved.
- Soft boundary condition

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = \mathcal{K}(p - p_e), \ \mathcal{K} = \sigma |1 - \mathcal{M}^2| / (\sqrt{2}J\rho L)$$
(34)

If  $\mathcal{L}_5 = 0$ , 'perfect' non-reflective boundary condition.

• Three zero gradient for viscous terms:

#### Subsonic inflow boundary conditions

- $\mathcal{L}_{1-4}$  enter the domain,  $\mathcal{L}_5$  leave the domain.
- Four conditions are given, the energy eq. solved with  $\mathcal{L}_5$  using one-side differencing.
- $\mathcal{L}_1$   $\mathcal{L}_4$  obtained using LODI:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\tilde{\xi}_x \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2}c} (\mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_5), \ \mathcal{L}_2 = -\tilde{\xi}_y \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2}c} (\mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_5), \ \mathcal{L}_3 = -\tilde{\xi}_z \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2}c} (\mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_5), \ \mathcal{L}_4$$
(35)

Adiabatic wall boundary conditions

• 
$$u_o = -u_i, v_o = -v_i, w_o = -w_i. \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial \eta} = 0.$$

- $\bullet$  total energy  $\rho e_o$  is solved by energy equation
- Cross  $\eta$  boundary

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{1} \\ \mathcal{L}_{2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ \mathcal{L}_{4} \\ \mathcal{L}_{5} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V[\tilde{\eta}_{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{p}{J}) + \tilde{\eta}_{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{v}{J}) - \tilde{\eta}_{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{w}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{x}}{c^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ V[\tilde{\eta}_{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{p}{J}) - \tilde{\eta}_{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{u}{J}) + \tilde{\eta}_{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{w}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{z}}{c^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ V[\tilde{\eta}_{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{p}{J}) + \tilde{\eta}_{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{u}{J}) - \tilde{\eta}_{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{v}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{z}}{c^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ (V+C)[\frac{\tilde{\eta}_{x}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{u}{J}) + \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{y}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{v}{J}) + \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{z}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{w}{J}) + \beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ (V-C)[-\frac{\tilde{\eta}_{x}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{u}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{y}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{v}{J}) - \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{z}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{w}{J}) + \beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\frac{p}{J})] \\ (36) \end{cases}$$

• 
$$\mathcal{L}_1$$
 -  $\mathcal{L}_3 = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_4 = \mathcal{L}_5$  from LODI

**Results:** Density, Subsonic Moving Vortex with Imposed p at exit





**Results:** Density, Subsonic Moving Vortex with NRBC





 $(u^{\tau} - u^{\tau} _{\infty})/u^{\tau} _{\infty}$  of Subsonic Moving Vortex with Imposed p at exit





 $(u^{\tau} - u^{\tau}{}_{\infty})/u^{\tau}{}_{\infty}$  of Subsonic Moving Vortex with NRBC







Steady state *Mach* number contours of the inlet diffuser with NRBC.















## Conclusions

• The NRBC of Poinsot and Lele for 3D Navier-Stokes equations are extended to generalized coordinates with detailed formulations

• The NRBC is implemented implicitly and is coupled with the inner domain solver by Gauss-Seidel Iteration

• For a unsteady subsonic vortex propagating flow, the NRBC avoid flow distortion at boundaries caused the BCs specifying pressure.

• For a steady state transonic inlet-diffuser, the NRBC is not essential. The reflective waves are diffused when the solutions are converged.

• For an unsteady transonic inlet-diffuser, the NRBC is essential.

• For the exit BC with imposed pressure, the shock wave is largely oscillated by reflective waves.

• When NRBC is used at exit, the shock oscillation dramatically reduced, the computed time averaged pressure distributions and frequency agree much better with the experiment

# Acknowledgment

This work is supported by AFOSR Grant F49620-03-1-0253 monitored by Dr. Fariba Fahroo.